Elida Rodriguez 4473 Tweedy Blvd 7. South Gate, CA 90280 3 In pro per 4 United States District Court For the Central District of California 6 Civil Action No. 7 2:24-cv-02418 SPG (PVCx) Plaintiff: KORTTNEY ELLIOT RESPONSE X DEFENDANT: ELIDA RODRIGUEZ Trial Date: TBD 0 Time: TBD 10 Dept: TBD TO: The Court in the above-entitled matter, and to Attorneys to Plaintiff, 12 ANSWER OF DEFENDANT Elida Rodriguez TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT 13 Elida Rodriguez ("Defendant"), hereby answers the Complaint of Korttney Elliot 14 ("Plaintiff") as follows: 15 I. GENERAL DENIAL Defendant denies each and every allegation, matter, and thing contained in the Complaint, 16 except as expressly admitted herein. 17 II. SPECIFIC ADMISSIONS AND DENIALS 18 1. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of 11) the allegations and therefore denies them. 20 2. Defendant admits it is the owner and operator of the business located at 4473 Tweedy 21 Blvd., South Gate, California. Defendant denies any wrongdoing or liability. 23 3. Defendant lacks sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of 23 the allegations regarding DOES 1 through 10 and therefore denies them. 4. Defendant admits the court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343. 24 5. Defendant admits that pendant jurisdiction is invoked for related state law claims but 75 denies any violation thereof. 26 6. Defendant denies the factual allegations and violations contained in these paragraphs 37 and asserts a lack of knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining 28

FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT MAY | 6 2024 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Response: Civil Action # 2:24-cv-02418 SPG (PVCx)

allegations.

III. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES 3 1. Failure to State a Claim Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. 4 2. Standing 5 Plaintiff lacks standing to bring this lawsuit as Plaintiff did not enter or attempt to 6 enter the business and thus did not suffer an injury in fact. 3. Mootness 8 Any alleged violations have been remedied or are in the process of being remedied, 9 rendering Plaintiff's claims moot. 10 4. Compliance with Law 1] Defendant has complied with all relevant federal, state, and local laws regarding accessibility for persons with disabilities. 12 5. No Intentional Conduct 13 Defendant did not intentionally or willfully violate any laws or regulations as 14 alleged in the Complaint. 15 6. Lack of Knowledge 16 Defendant lacked actual knowledge of any specific barriers to access and had no 17 intent to discriminate. 18 7. Undue Burden Alleged modifications, if required, would impose an undue burden on Defendant. 10 8. Equivalent Facilitation 20 Defendant provided equivalent facilitation as permitted under ADA guidelines. 21 9. Unclean Hands 37 Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands. 74 10. Lack of Notice 7.1 Defendant did not receive proper notice of the alleged violations prior to the 75 commencement of this lawsuit. 11. Private Parking and Street Parking** 26 Defendant's business provides private parking in the rear of the premises which is 27 not available to the public. Public parking is provided to all clients through public 28

street parking in front of the business. 7 12. No Public Restroom 30 Defendant's business does not have a public restroom available for customers or 4 visitors. 13. High-Frequency Litigant 5 Plaintiff is a high-frequency litigant who files numerous lawsuits alleging 6 violations of the ADA and related statutes, which impacts the credibility and legitimacy of Plaintiff's claims. 8 14. Defective Proof of Service 9 The proof of service provided by Plaintiff was blank, lacking both the date of 10 service and the identity of the individual who served the paperwork, thereby failing 11 to meet the procedural requirements for proper service of process. 12 IV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF 13 WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that this Court: 1.4 1. Dismiss the Complaint with prejudice; 15 2. Award Defendant its costs and fees incurred herein; and 16 3. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper. 17 18 DATED: 05/16/2024 19 **Respectfully submitted. 20 Elida Rodriguez 21 4473 Tweedy Blvd 17 South Gate, CA 90280 In pro per 33 Verification 24 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is 35 true and correct. Executed on 05/16/2024, at South Gate, CA. 26 27 Elida Rodriguez 28